# CSE6243: Advanced Machine Learning Fall 2023 Lecture 3: Optimization: Convex Sets and Functions *Lecturer: Bo Dai Scribes: Lu Li, Santusht Sairam*

Note: *LaTeX template courtesy of UC Berkeley EECS Department.*

Disclaimer: *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

## 3.1 Recap

The previous lecture focused on the following facets of convexity:

- (i) The motivation behind convex optimization
- (ii) The equivalence of local and global minima in convex spaces
- (iii) The first-order characterization of convexity

To this end we formulated the problem of convex optimization as:

$$
\min_{x \in \Omega} f(x) \tag{3.1}
$$

wherein  $\int$ 

$$
\begin{cases} \Omega: \text{Convex Set} \\ f(\cdot) \in \Omega \to \mathbb{R} : \text{Convex Function} \end{cases} \tag{3.2}
$$

## 3.2 New Content

The present lecture discusses:

- (i) The determination of convexity (for sets and functions)
- (ii) The quantification of said convexity

#### 3.2.1 Convex Sets

We shall now discuss the determination and quantification of convexity for sets, beginning with a reiteration of the definition of convex sets as:

Definition 3.1 (convex set)

$$
\Omega \text{ is convex} \Leftrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \forall x, y \in \Omega, t \in [0, 1], \\ tx + (1 - t)y \in \Omega \end{array} \tag{3.3}
$$



Figure 3.1: Example of convex (left) and non-convex (right) sets. Note the main visual distinction, wherein the line between any two points on a convex set also falls within its domain.

The definition above can be leveraged towards a proof of convexity for the following examples:

Example 1 (balls)

$$
\{x: \|x\|_2 \le c\},\
$$
  
where 
$$
\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{x^T x}
$$
 (3.4)

**Proof:** Now let  $x, y \in \Omega$ , then:

$$
||tx + (1-t)y|| \le c
$$
  
 
$$
t||x|| + (1-t)||y|| \le c
$$
 (3.5)

Hence by definition  $\Omega$  is a convex set. However, proofs of this nature from first principles can be rather involved, as in the case of affine planes:

#### Example 2 (affine plane)

$$
\{x: Ax = b\},\
$$
  
where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^k$  (3.6)

Example 3 (simplex)

$$
\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d | x \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^d x_i = 1\}
$$
\n(3.7)



Figure 3.2: Example of a simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^d x_i = 1\}$ 

To this end, the process of identification can be expedited through the consideration of operations preserving convexity given convex inputs:

(i) Affine transformations

$$
A\Omega + b : \{y = Ax + b, x \in \Omega\}
$$
\n
$$
(3.8)
$$

(ii) Linear fractions

$$
f(x) = \frac{A^2x + b}{C^2x + d} \quad \text{where } C^2x + d > 0 \tag{3.9}
$$

In this case,  $f(x)$  and  $f^{-1}(x)$  both preserve convexity given  $x \in \Omega$ .

(iii) Conditional probability functions

$$
\mathbb{P}(x=i|y=j) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(x=i, y=j)}{\mathbb{P}(y=j)}
$$
\n(3.10)

Where the overarching joint probability function  $\mathbb{P}(x = i | y = j) \in S$ .

The full proof of this statement is omitted, but begins with the recognition of the linear fractional nature of  $\mathbb{P}(x=i|y=j).$ 

#### 3.2.2 Convex Functions

**Definition 3.2** *A function*  $f : X → \mathbb{R}$  *defined on a nonempty* set  $X ⊂ \mathbb{R}^n$  *is* called *convex if* 

- *(i) X is convex, and*
- $(iii)$  ∀*x*, *y* ∈ *X*, ∀ $\lambda$  ∈ [0, 1],

$$
f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)
$$
\n(3.11)

A function  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is called concave if

$$
-f: X \to \mathbb{R} \text{is a convex function.} \tag{3.12}
$$

Below are some common examples of convex functions.

- (i) linear form:  $f(x) = Ax + b$
- (ii) quadratic form:  $f(x) = x^T Q x$ , note here  $Q = A^T A$ ,  $Q$  is a symmetric positive definite matrix
- (iii) norm of a vector:  $f(x) = ||x||_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ , it's easy to tell all norms are convex
- (iv) operator norm of a matrix:  $f(X) = ||X||_{OP} = \sigma_i(X)$ ,  $\sigma_i$  is the maximum eigenvalue.
- (v) trace norm:  $f(X) = \sqrt{tr(X^T X)}$

Next we'll introduce some **operations preserving convexity of sets**, or allow us to construct convex sets from others.

(i) non-negative linear combinations:

$$
g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i f_i(x), \quad \forall i, f_i(\cdot) \text{ is a convex function and } w_i \ge 0
$$
 (3.13)

Note that this still holds when  $k \to \infty$ . In fact, when  $k \to \infty$ , the linear combinations become expectation:  $\mathbb{E}_p(z)f(x,z) = g(x)$ .

(ii) pointwise maximum

$$
g(x) = \max_{i \in (1,\dots,k)} f_i(x)
$$
  
\n
$$
g(x) = \max_{z} f(x, z), \quad k \to \infty
$$
\n(3.14)

(iii) partial minimization: if  $f(x, y)$  is convex and the function

$$
g(x) = \inf_{y \in \Omega} f(x, y) \tag{3.15}
$$

is proper, i.e., is  $> -\infty$  everywhere and is finite at least at one point, then g is convex.

- (iv) Affine substitutions: the superposition  $f(Ax + b)$  of a convex function  $f(\cdot)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and affine mapping  $x \rightarrow Ax + b$  is convex.
- (v) General composition rule:

$$
g(x) = f(h(x))\tag{3.16}
$$

- $g(\cdot)$  is convex if:
- a.  $f(\cdot)$  is convex (non-decreasing) and  $h(\cdot)$  is convex.
- b.  $f(\cdot)$  is convex (non-increasing) and  $h(\cdot)$  is concave.

This can be validated using first-order and second-order conditions for optimality:

$$
\begin{cases}\ng'(x) = f'(h(x)) \cdot h'(x) &\ge 0 \\
g''(x) = f''(h(x)) \cdot (h'(x))^2 + f'(h(x)) \cdot h''(x) &\ge 0\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3.17)

Note that the general composition rule conditions are not sufficient and necessary conditions for convexity. Here's an example:

$$
g(x) = \log \sum_{i=1}^{k} e^{a_i^T x + b_i}
$$
 (3.18)

### 3.2.3 Elementary property of convex functions: Jensen's Inequality

Here we'll introduce one of the most useful observations in the world: Jensen's inequality.

**Definition 3.3 (Jensen's Inequality)**  $x \in dom(f)$  *with probability one, and*  $f$  *is convex, then we have:* 

$$
f(\mathbb{E}_p(x)[x]) \le \mathbb{E}_p(x)[f(x)]\tag{3.19}
$$

**Proof:** there're finite many elements in set x then the above inequality can be re-written as:

$$
f(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i f(x_i)
$$

The points  $(f(x_i), x_i)$  belong to the epigraph of *f*; since f is convex, its epigraph is a convex set, so that the convex combination

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i(f(x_i), x_i) = (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i f(x_i), \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i x_i)
$$
\n(3.20)

of the points also belongs to the epigraph of *f*. By definition of the epigraph: Set  $\lambda = 1$  in equation (3.10), the latter means exactly that  $f(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i f(x_i)$ .

The number of points *N* can get as much as possible, when  $N \to \infty$ , it's equation (3.12)  $\blacksquare$