CSE6243: Advanced Machine Learning	Fall 2023
Lecture 4: Optimization: Conjugate an	d Gradient Descent
Lecturer: Bo Dai Scrib	es: Abhinav Gullapalli, Mahdi Ghanei

Note: LaTeX template courtesy of UC Berkeley EECS Department.

Disclaimer: These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.

4.1 Recap

• How to judge whether an optimization is convex or not?

$$\min_{x \in \Omega} f(x) \text{ is convex if}$$
(4.1)
 $\Omega \text{ is a convex set and } f(x) \text{ is a convex function.}$ (4.2)

4.2 New Content

4.2.1 Zeroth Order Condition for Convex Functions

A function $f(\cdot) \in \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function *iff*

$$\begin{cases} \Omega \text{ is a convex set} \\ f(tx + (1-t)y) \le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega, t \in [0,1] \end{cases}$$

$$(4.3)$$

4.2.2 First Order Condition for Convex Functions

A differentiable function $f(\cdot) \in \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function iff

$$\begin{cases} \Omega \text{ is a convex set} \\ f(y) \ge f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

$$(4.4)$$

Proof: Bidirectionality of First-Order Condition

(if part: "⇐")

Given $x_0 = tx + (1 - t)y, t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{cases} f(x) \ge f(x_0) + \nabla f(x_0)^T (x - x_0) \\ f(y) \ge f(x_0) + \nabla f(x_0)^T (y - x_0) \end{cases}$$
(4.5)

We multiply top row by t and bottom row by (1-t). Thus,

$$tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) \ge f(x_0) + \nabla f(x_0)^T (t(x-x_0) + (1-t)(y-x_0))$$
(4.6)

Note: $t(x - x_0) + (1 - t)(y - x_0) = 0$ when substituting $x_0 = tx + (1 - t)y$, thus

$$tf(x) + (1-t)f(y) \ge f(tx + (1-t)y)$$
(4.7)

(only-if part: " \Rightarrow ")

When t = 0, the inequality $f((1-t)y+tx) \le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)$ is trivially satisfied. Thus, we only consider below the case where $t \in (0, 1]$:

Given $f((1-t)y + tx) \le tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)$, we have

$$\frac{f((1-t)y+tx)}{t} \le \frac{tf(x) + (1-t)f(y)}{t}$$
(4.8)

$$\frac{f((1-t)y+tx)}{t} \le f(x) - f(y) + \frac{f(y)}{t}$$
(4.9)

$$f(x) \ge f(y) + \frac{f((1-t)y + tx) - f(y)}{t}$$
(4.10)

As $t \to 0$, we have $f(x) \ge f(y) + \nabla f(y)^T (x - y)$ by applying Taylor Expansion

4.2.3 Second Order Condition for Convex Functions

A twice-differentiable $f(\cdot) \in \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function iff

$$\begin{cases} \Omega \text{ is a convex set} \\ \nabla^2 f(x) \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

$$(4.11)$$

Note: $\nabla^2 f(x)$ is a positive semi-definite Hessian matrix.

Proof: Bidirectionality of Second Order Condition

(if part: "⇐")

Given $f(x+h) = f(x) + h^T \nabla f(x+h) + \frac{1}{2}h^T \nabla^2 f(x+h)h + O(||h||^3)$, we observe

$$\frac{1}{2}h^T \nabla^2 f(x+h)h + O(||h||^3) \ge 0$$
(4.12)

Thus, $f(x+h) \ge f(x) + h^T \nabla f(x+h), \forall h.$

Note: $O(||h||^3)$ is a residual term and dominated by the second-order term $\frac{1}{2}h^T \nabla^2 f(x+h)h$.

(only-if part: "
$$\Rightarrow$$
")

Given $f(x+h)=f(x)+h^T\nabla f(x+h)+\frac{1}{2}h^T\nabla^2 f(x+h)h+O(||h||^3),$ we have

$$0 \le f(x+h) - f(x) - h^T \nabla f(x+h) = \frac{1}{2} h^T \nabla^2 f(x+h)h + O(||h||^3) \quad \forall h, x$$
(4.13)

Thus, $\nabla^2 f(x) \ge 0$.

4.2.4 Convexity of Composition of Functions

The function g(x) = f(h(x)) is convex when:

$$\begin{cases} f \text{ is convex and increasing AND } h \text{ is convex} \\ f \text{ is convex and decreasing AND } h \text{ is concave} \end{cases}$$
(4.14)

Proof: This can be obtained via taking the derivative

$$g'(x) = f'(h(x))h'(x)$$
(4.15)

$$\Rightarrow g''(x) = f''(h(x))(h'(x))^2 + f'(h(x))h''(x)$$
(4.16)

 \blacksquare The terms in the second derivative are positive, thus the g is convex.

Note: The above conditions are sufficient but <u>not</u> necessary for a function to be convex. Even if these conditions are not satisfied, it is possible for the function to be convex.

Example: Is the function $g(x) = \log \sum_{i=1}^{d} exp(a_i^T x + b_i)$ convex? Yes.

Proof: This can be proved by taking the derivative twice and using the second-order condition.

4.2.5 Gradient Descent

The objective is to solve the optimization $\min_x f(x)$ where f(x) is a loss function. The algorithm is as follows:

- 1. initialize x_0
- 2. for t = 1, ..., T do $x_{t+1} = x_t \eta \nabla f(x_t)$

where η is step-size.

Observation 4.1 x_{t+1} is the solution to surrogate loss function

$$x_{t+1} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{x} f(x_t) + \nabla f(x_t)^T (x - x_t) + \frac{1}{2\eta} ||x - x_t||^2$$

Proof: We take the derivative and set it equal to zero:

$$\nabla f(x_t) + \frac{1}{\eta}(x - x_t) = 0 \tag{4.17}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_{t+1} = x_t - \eta \nabla f(x_t) \tag{4.18}$$

Observation 4.2 $f(x_{t+1}) - f(x) \leq 0$ holds for L-smooth function.

Proof:

$$\forall x, y, f(y) - f(x) - \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) \le \frac{L}{2} ||y - x||^2$$
(4.19)

$$\Rightarrow f(x_{t+1}) - f(x_t) \le \nabla f(x_t)^T (x_{t+1} - x_t) + \frac{L}{2} ||x_{t+1} - x_t||^2$$
(4.20)

We want to prove the right-hand-side is ≤ 0 .

Substituting for $x_{t+1} = x_t - \eta \nabla f(x_t)$, we get:

$$-\eta \nabla f(x_t)^T \nabla f(x_t) + \frac{L}{2} ||\eta \nabla f(x_t)||^2$$

note that $\frac{L}{2} ||\eta \nabla f(x_t)||^2 = \frac{L\eta^2}{2} \nabla f(x_t)^T \nabla f(x_t)$, hence

$$-\eta \nabla f(x_t)^T \nabla f(x_t) + \frac{L}{2} ||\eta \nabla f(x_t)||^2 = \left(\frac{L\eta^2}{2} - \eta\right) \nabla f(x_t)^T \nabla f(x_t)$$

For optimal η^* we have $L\eta^* - 1 = 0 \rightarrow \eta^* = \frac{1}{L}$ Thus,

$$-\eta^* \nabla f(x_t) ||^2 = \frac{-1}{2L} \nabla f(x_t)^T \nabla f(x_t) \; ; \; \eta^* = \frac{1}{L}$$

From the above, we can get a bound on the improvement:

$$f(x_{t+1}) - f(x_t) \le \frac{-1}{2L} ||\nabla f(x_t)||^2; \ \eta^* = \frac{1}{L}$$

Theorem 4.3 Finding optimal x with Gradient Descent

$$f(x_t) - \min f(x) \le \frac{2L||x_0 - x_*||^2}{t}$$
(4.21)

When gradient descent is applied for convex and L-smooth, this condition holds.

Note: $x_* = argminf(x)$, and the optimal x could be a set, not necessarily a single value.

Stochastic Gradient Descent 4.2.6

Stochastic Gradient Descent is one type of Gradient Descent, which is often used in practice.

$$\min_{x} f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(x)$$

$$\nabla f(x) = \nabla \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla f_{i}(x)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla} f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \nabla f_{i}(x) \ \forall k \ll n$$
Also,
$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\nabla} f(x)] = \nabla f(x)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[||\tilde{\nabla} f(x)||^{2}] \leq C$$

which means that it is that $\tilde{\nabla} f(x)$ is an unbiased estimator of f(x). $x_{t+1} = x_t - y \tilde{\nabla} f(x_t)$ gives stochastic gradient descent.

4.2.7 Convex Conjugate & Gradient Descent (Supplementary)

Definition 4.4 (Convex Conjugate)

$$f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} is \ a \ conjugate \ function \ if \tag{4.22}$$

$$f^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ y^T x - f(x) \} \quad (Legendre-Fenchel Transformation)$$
(4.23)

which possesses the following properties:

Property 1 (Fenchel's Inequality)

$$f(x) + f^*(y) \ge x^T y, \quad \forall x, y \tag{4.24}$$

Property 2 $f^*(\cdot)$ is convex.

Hint to proof: consider pointwise max rule.

Definition 4.5 (BiConjugate Function) We call $f^{**}(x)$ the biconjugate function if it is the conjugate of a conjugate function, *i.e.*,

Given f(x) and its conjugate $f^*(y) = \sup_{y} y^T x - f(x)$,

$$f^{**}(x) = \sup_{x} y^{T} x - f^{*}(y)$$
(4.25)

Theorem 4.6

$$f(x) \ge f^{**}(x)$$
 (4.26)

Proof: By definition,

$$f^*(y) \ge y^T x - f(y), \quad \forall y \tag{4.27}$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) \ge y^T x - f^*(y) \quad \text{i.e.}, \tag{4.28}$$

$$f(x) \ge \sup_{y} (y^{T}x - f^{*}(y)) = f^{**}(x)$$
(4.29)

Therefore, f^{**} is lower bound convex of f(x).

Theorem 4.7 if f is convex and closed,

$$f^{**}(x) = f(x) \tag{4.30}$$